

FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	CBO Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Mark Baird 684-5509	

Legislation Title: AN ORDINANCE related to providing the Community Police Commission members compensation in the form of a stipend for those who incur a financial hardship by their participation on the Commission; and amending City of Seattle Ordinance 124021.

Summary of the Legislation:

This legislation amends Seattle Ordinance 124021 as it pertains to the creation of the Community Police Commission (CPC). It authorizes the Executive Director of the CPC to authorize a stipend of \$550 per month for any member of the CPC that requests it for incurring a financial hardship by attending the Commission's meetings, community outreach efforts, or other events.

Background:

The CPC was created in the memorandum of understanding (MOU) that was part of the larger settlement agreement between the City of Seattle and the Department of Justice (DOJ). The CPC is directed to facilitate the participation of Seattle's diverse communities in the implementation of MOU and other aspects of the settlement agreement. It is also charged with fostering greater transparency for the Seattle Police Department (SPD). Additionally, it is tasked with assisting the public with gaining a better understanding of the SPD and the work that it does.

The CPC is comprised of a diverse blend of professionals, advocates, and community members. Their outreach and engagement with Seattle's various communities is important for collecting feedback to guide their efforts and to ensure that the community has a voice in the reform process. It is important to have commissioners that are able to participate in the numerous meetings, workgroups, and events that are associated with the work of the CPC. Some commissioners participate in conjunction with their full-time employment. Others are afforded the time to participate in CPC meetings and other activities by their employers. However, they are not compensated for the time. Still others participate by giving of their own available time. The offering of a stipend is meant to maintain dedicated, diverse commissioners.

Please check one of the following:

☐ **This legislation does not have any financial implications.**

(Please skip to "Other Implications" section at the end of the document and answer questions a-h. Earlier sections that are left blank should be deleted. Please delete the instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each question.)

☒ **This legislation has financial implications.**

(If the legislation has direct fiscal impacts (e.g., appropriations, revenue, positions), fill out the relevant sections below. If the financial implications are indirect or longer-term, describe them in narrative in the "Other Implications" Section. Please delete the

instructions provided in parentheses at the end of each title and question.)

Appropriations:

(This table should reflect appropriations that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the project/programs associated with this ordinance had, or will have, appropriations in other legislation please provide details in the Appropriation Notes section below. If the appropriation is not supported by revenue/reimbursements, please confirm that there is available fund balance to cover this appropriation in the note section.)

Fund Name and Number	Department	Budget Control Level*	2014 Appropriation	2015 Anticipated Appropriation
N/A				
TOTAL				

**See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.*

Appropriations Notes:

The CPC has budget savings in its current budget to cover the costs associated with the stipend in 2014. Funding for a stipend in future years will be handled through the normal budgeting process and it should be anticipated that there will be an increase to the CPC's existing base budget to cover stipends.

Anticipated Revenue/Reimbursement Resulting from this Legislation:

(This table should reflect revenues/reimbursements that are a direct result of this legislation. In the event that the issues/projects associated with this ordinance/resolution have revenues or reimbursements that were, or will be, received because of previous or future legislation or budget actions, please provide details in the Notes section below the table.)

Fund Name and Number	Department	Revenue Source	2014 Revenue	2015 Revenue
TOTAL				

Revenue/Reimbursement Notes:

Total Regular Positions Created, Modified, or Abrogated through this Legislation, Including FTE Impact:

(This table should only reflect the actual number of positions affected by this legislation. In the event that positions have been, or will be, created as a result of other legislation, please provide details in the Notes section below the table.)

Position Title and Department	Position # for Existing Positions	Fund Name & #	PT/FT	2014 Positions	2014 FTE	2015 Positions*	2015 FTE*
TOTAL							

** 2015 positions and FTE are total 2015 position changes resulting from this legislation, not incremental changes. Therefore, under 2015, please be sure to include any continuing positions from 2014.*

Position Notes:

Do positions sunset in the future?

(If yes, identify sunset date)

Spending/Cash Flow:

(This table should be completed only in those cases where part or all of the funds authorized by this legislation will be spent in a different year than when they were appropriated (e.g., as in the case of certain grants and capital projects). Details surrounding spending that will occur in future years should be provided in the Notes section below the table.)

Fund Name & #	Department	Budget Control Level*	2014 Expenditures	2015 Anticipated Expenditures
TOTAL				

* See budget book to obtain the appropriate Budget Control Level for your department.

Spending/Cash Flow Notes:

Other Implications:

- a) Does the legislation have indirect financial implications, or long-term implications?
NO
- b) What is the financial cost of not implementing the legislation? None
- c) Does this legislation affect any departments besides the originating department?
Not directly, but there are a host of other boards and commissions that currently do not receive a stipend of any type. This could generate a move towards others looking at the issue.
- d) What are the possible alternatives to the legislation that could achieve the same or similar objectives? None
- e) Is a public hearing required for this legislation? No
- f) Is publication of notice with *The Daily Journal of Commerce* and/or *The Seattle Times* required for this legislation? N/A
- g) Does this legislation affect a piece of property? No
- h) Other Issues:

List attachments to the fiscal note below: None